WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

١,	
v	

Juan Carlos Castro-Rojas	Case Number:	15-01508MJ-001		
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	e of the evidence the defendant	een held. Defendant was present and wa is a serious flight risk and order the		
I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT			
The defendant is not a citizen of the Ur	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
The defendant, at the time of the charg	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
	es removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs It the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been			
The defendant has no significant conta	acts in the United States or in the	District of Arizona.		
The defendant has no resources in the calculated to assure his/her future appears		e might make a bond reasonably		
The defendant has a prior criminal history	ory.			
The defendant lives/works in Mexico.				
The defendant is an amnesty applicant substantial family ties to Mexico.	t but has no substantial ties in A	rizona or in the United States and has		
There is a record of prior failure to appear	ear in court as ordered.			

The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.

The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.

The defendant is facing a maximum of

2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

years imprisonment.

DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release			
Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hea	aring before the District Court to allo	w Pretrial, Seryi	ces an opportunity to
Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hea interview and investigate the potential third party of	custodian.	11	Mo

DATE: July 6, 2015

П

United States Magistrate Judge